



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	] ]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,176		02/10/2000	Steven Pirie-Shepherd	05940-0141	4843
23594	7590	08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. F		KTONIIP	HARRIS, ALANA M		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 PEACHTREE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 280	-		1643		
ATLANTA	, GA 30	309	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/502,176	PIRIE-SHEPHERD ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTEN THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In this from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repreply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	l <u>une 2005</u> .	
2a)☐ This ac	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
•	his application is in condition for allowatin accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of C	laims		
4a) Of the first	s) <u>1-4,6-12,15,16,27 and 29-38</u> is/are p the above claim(s) is/are withdra s) <u>27</u> is/are allowed. s) <u>1-4,6, 8-11,15,16,29-33 and 35-38</u> is s) <u>7,12 and 34</u> is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Pap	ers		
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
Applicar	nt may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
<u> </u>	ment drawing sheet(s) including the correcth or declaration is objected to by the E		· ·
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All 1. C 2. C 3. C	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Associate S			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Refer	ences Cited (PTO-892)	4)Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

MC

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 16, 27 and 29-38 are pending.
  - Claims 29-38 have been added.
  - Claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 16, 27 and 29-38 are examined on the merits.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Withdrawn Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The rejection of claim 1-4, 6-12, 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is withdrawn in light of Applicants' arguments.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 16 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn. In particular, the rejection of claim 4 is withdrawn because it seems that there is only one N-glycosylation site within kringle 1-3 region fragment as indicated in the specification on page 4, line 16-19.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,176 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The rejection of claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2003/0012792 A1 (effective filing date May 22, 1998) is withdrawn in light of the fact SEQ ID NO: 61 is not listed in the priority document, 09/907,402 filed July 17, 2001, now U.S. Patent No. 6,554,947.

#### New Grounds of Rejection

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 15, 16, 29-33 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent number 5,837,682 (issued November 17, 1998). U.S. Patent teaches kringles 1-3, a fragment beginning at approximately amino acid 87 of human plasminogen, which can be combined with pharmaceutically acceptable excipients, see column 9, lines 9-13 and 45-49; column 21, lines 5-28; column 22, lines 25-59; column 23, lines 7-21; and column 58, lines 45-53. Kringles 1-3 is an angiostatin fragment, meaning a protein derivative of angiostatin used to inhibit or suppress tumor growth, column 41, lines 19-23 and column 42, lines 47-65.

Art Unit: 1643

The patent does not teach a composition comprising a protein consisting of a deglycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment of a plasminogen protein lacking a bisialylated-biantennary glycan, a N-linked carbohydrate moiety and a carbohydrate chain at amino acid position corresponding to the N-glycosylation site of human plasminogen, further comprising a protein consisting of a naturally glycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment of a plasminogen protein wherein the amount of the naturally glycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment in the composition is smaller than the amount of deglycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment.

However, the patent does teach the expression of angiostatin (kringles 1-4) in *Pichia pastoris* resulting in the expression of a recombinant protein, see column 40, Example 25; see column 51, line 42-column 51, line 18. The *P. pastoris* expressed proteins with N-linked glycosylation, which were digested with endoglycosidase H. The recombinant proteins were also digested with O-glycanase prior to neuraminidase treatment. The expressed protein resulted in two forms: with a N-linked complex chain and without any glycosylation. It would have been *prima facie* obvious at the time of the claimed invention to use the same expression system to manufacture a deglycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment of a plasminogen protein lacking one or more carbohydrate moieties linked to naturally glycosylated forms of the fragment because it is art known and established in this patent this fragment does have antiangiogenic activity and angiostatin comprising kringles 1-4 was expressed and exhibited antiangiogenic activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*, see column 42, lines 47-55; column 52, line 19-column 54, line 20. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to

Art Unit: 1643

express a recombinant antiangiogenic fragment consisting of kringles 1-3 because the art teaches this plasminogen derivative has anti-angiogenic activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*, see column 9, lines 44-49; column 11, lines 4-8; column 42, lines 47-65; and bridging sentence of columns 46 and 47. In addition, the patent also teaches that "[d]ifferent protein fragments of the ... angiostatin molecule can be synthesize for several applications...", see column 23, lines 55-67.

Moreover, the deglycosylated kringle 1-3 region fragment and the glycosylated form of the fragment are at a ratio of at least 60:40 and 80:20. Since the Patent and Trademark Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing the disclosed amount produced by the taught recombinant expression method and the established ratio of the claimed invention the burden of proof is upon the Applicants to show an unobvious distinction between the characteristics of the composition in the claimed invention and the composition which can be made based upon the prior art. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 U.S.P.Q. 430 (CCPA 197) and Ex parte Gray, 10 USPQ 2d 1922 1923 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int.).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 27 is allowed.
- 9. Claims 7, 12, 27 and 34 are free of the prior art.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/502,176

Art Unit: 1643

10. Claims 7, 12 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 am to 5:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

Art Unit: 1643

12 August 2005

Page 7